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- Geometry of the problem
- The problem with linear boundary conditions
- The problem with a nonlinear boundary condition on the outflow

We consider a flow through the cascade of profiles. We assume that the fluid is viscous, incompressible, Newtonian and the flow is steady and 2D.


## 1. The problem with linear boundary conditions

### 1.1. The equations of motion

The conservation of momentum is expressed by the Navier-Stokes equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\boldsymbol{u}) \boldsymbol{u}^{\perp}=-\nabla q+\nu\left(-\partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right) \omega(\boldsymbol{u})+\boldsymbol{f} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=p+\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}\right)$ is the so-called Bernoulli pressure, $\omega(\boldsymbol{u})=\partial_{1} u_{2}-\partial_{2} u_{1}$ is the vorticity, and $\boldsymbol{u}^{\perp}=\left(-u_{2}, u_{1}\right)$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) & \cdots & \text { velocity } \\
p & \cdots & \text { pressure } \\
\boldsymbol{f}=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right) & \cdots & \text { specific volume force } \\
\nu>0 & \cdots & \text { kinematic viscosity }
\end{array}
$$

The condition of incompressibility is expressed by the equation of continuity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It also expresses the conservation of mass.

### 1.2 Boundary conditions

The inhomogeneous Dirichlet condition on the inflow:

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{g} & \text { on } \Gamma_{i} \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{g}$ is a given velocity on $\Gamma_{i}$.
The conditions of periodicity on $\Gamma_{-}$and $\Gamma_{+}$:

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{u}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+\tau\right) & =\boldsymbol{u}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)  \tag{4}\\
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+\tau\right) & =-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)  \tag{5}\\
q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+\tau\right) & =q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*} \quad \text { for }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Gamma_{-}
$$

The homogeneous Dirichlet condition on the profile:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}=\mathbf{0} \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{w} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The linear "do-nothing" condition on the outflow $\Gamma_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=h_{1} \quad-\nu \omega(\boldsymbol{u})=h_{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.3 Function spaces and the weak formulation

$H^{1}(\Omega)$ (respectively $\left.H^{1}(\Omega)^{2}\right)$ is the Sobolev space of scalar (respectively vector) functions, defined a.e. in $\Omega$, with the norm $\|.\|_{1}$.
$H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)^{2}$ (for $0<s<1$ ) is the Sobolev-Slobodetski space of vector functions, defined a.e. in $\Gamma_{i}$, with the norm $\|.\|_{s ; \Gamma_{i}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\left\{\boldsymbol{v} \in H^{1}(\Omega)^{2} ; \quad \boldsymbol{v}=\mathbf{0} \text { s.v. v } \Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{w},\right. \\
& \boldsymbol{v}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+\tau\right)=\boldsymbol{v}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \text { for a.a. }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Gamma_{-}, \\
&\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v}=0 \text { a.e. in } \Omega\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(Conditions on $\Gamma_{i}, \Gamma_{w}$ a $\Gamma_{-}$are fulfilled in the sense of traces.)
The norm in $V$ is

$$
\|\boldsymbol{v}\|:=\|\nabla \boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{4}}
$$

## Formal derivation of the weak formulation:

In order to derive formally the weak formulation of the problem, we multiply equation (1) by an arbitrary test function $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in V$, integrate in $\Omega$ and use Green's theorem. We obtain

$$
a(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})=(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{v})_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{2}}+b(\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{v}) \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V,
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) & := & a_{1}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})+a_{2}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) \\
a_{1}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) & := & \nu(\omega(\boldsymbol{u}), \omega(\boldsymbol{v}))_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
a_{2}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}) & := & \int_{\Omega} \omega(\boldsymbol{u}) \boldsymbol{v}^{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \\
b(\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{v}) & := & -\int_{\Gamma_{o}} \boldsymbol{h} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{~d} S
\end{array}
$$

The term implying the form of the condition on $\Gamma_{o}: \int_{\Gamma_{o}}\left[\nu \omega(\boldsymbol{u}) v_{2}-q v_{1}\right] \mathrm{d} S$

The weak formulation of the problem (1)-(8).

Definition 1. Let $\boldsymbol{g} \in H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)^{2}$ (for some $\left.s \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]\right)$ satisfy the condition $\boldsymbol{g}\left(A_{1}\right)=\boldsymbol{g}\left(A_{0}\right)$. Let $\boldsymbol{f} \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{h} \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{o}\right)^{2}$. The weak solution of the problem (1)-(8) is a vector function $\boldsymbol{u} \in H^{1}(\Omega)^{2}$ which satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})=(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{v})_{0}+b(\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{v}) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all test functions $\boldsymbol{v} \in V$, the equation of continuity (3) a.e. in $\Omega$ and the boundary conditions (3)-(8) (on $\Gamma_{i}, \Gamma_{w}, \Gamma_{-}$and $\Gamma_{+}$) in the sense of traces.

### 1.4 Existence and uniqueness of a weak solution

Lemma 1. There exists a constant $c_{1}>0$ independent of $\boldsymbol{g}$ and a divergencefree extension $\boldsymbol{g}^{*} \in H^{1}(\Omega)^{2}$ of function $\boldsymbol{g}$ from $\Gamma_{i}$ onto $\Omega$ such that $\boldsymbol{g}^{*}=\mathbf{0}$ on $\Gamma_{w}, \boldsymbol{g}^{*}$ satisfies the condition of periodicity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{g}^{*}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+\tau\right)=\boldsymbol{g}^{*}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \quad \text { for }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Gamma_{-} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{g}^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq c_{1}\|\boldsymbol{g}\|_{s ; \Gamma_{i}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we construct the weak solution $\boldsymbol{u}$ in the form $\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{g}^{*}+\boldsymbol{z}$ where $\boldsymbol{z} \in V$ is a new unknown function. Substituting $\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{g}^{*}+\boldsymbol{z}$ into equation (9), we get the following problem: Find a function $\boldsymbol{z} \in V$ such that it satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(\boldsymbol{g}^{*}+\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{v}\right)=(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{v})_{0}+b(\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{v}) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\boldsymbol{v} \in V$.

Theorem (on the existence of a weak solution). There exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that if $\|\boldsymbol{g}\|_{s ; \Gamma_{i}}<\varepsilon$ then there exists a solution $\boldsymbol{u}$ of the problem defined in Definition 1.

## Principle of the proof.

We use the Galerkin method and we construct approximations $\boldsymbol{z}_{n}$ in $n$-dimensional subspaces $V_{n}$ of $V$. The fundamental tool which guarantees the existence of the approximations is the coerciveness of the bilinear form $a$ in space $V$. Applying successively estimates of the forms $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $b$, we can derive the next lemma.

Lemma 2. There exist positive constants $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
a\left(\boldsymbol{g}^{*}+\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}\right) \geq & \|\boldsymbol{z}\|\left(\nu\|\boldsymbol{z}\|-\nu c_{4} c_{5}\|\boldsymbol{g}\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)^{2}}-c_{2}\|\boldsymbol{g}\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)^{2}}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-c_{3}\|\boldsymbol{g}\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)^{2}}\|\boldsymbol{z}\|\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Now the coerciveness of the form $a$ follows from (13) and the assumption on a sufficient smallness of $\|\boldsymbol{g}\|_{s ; \Gamma_{i}}$.

Theorem (on the uniqueness of a weak solution). There exists $R>0$ such that if $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{2}$ are two solutions of the problem from Definition 1 such that $\left\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{4}} \leq R$ then $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}=\boldsymbol{u}_{2}$.

Principle of the proof. The structure of the form $a_{2}$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v})=0, \quad \text { for all } \boldsymbol{u} \text { and } \boldsymbol{v} \text { from } H^{1}(\Omega)^{2} . \\
& a\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}\right)-a\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \boldsymbol{v}\right)=0 . \\
& a_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right)=\nu\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right\| \|^{2} \\
& \left|a_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right)-a_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right)\right| \leq c_{6} R\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\nu\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right\|\left\|^{2} \leq c_{6} R\right\| \boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}\| \|^{2}
$$

## 2. The problem with a nonlinear boundary condition on the outflow

### 2.1. Equations of motion

The conservation of momentum is expressed by the Navier-Stokes equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{f}-\nabla p+\nu \Delta \boldsymbol{u} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition of incompressibility is expressed by the equation of continuity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}=0 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 Boundary conditions

Boundary conditions on $\Gamma_{i}, \Gamma_{+}, \Gamma_{-}$and $\Gamma_{w}$ are the same as in Section 1.

The nonlinear condition on the outflow $\Gamma_{o}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\nu \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}}+p \boldsymbol{n}-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n})^{-} \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{h} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3 The weak formulation

The used integral identity now has the form

$$
a(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})=(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{v})_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{2}}+b(\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{v}) \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) & :=a_{1}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})+a_{2}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})+a_{3}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) \\
a_{1}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) & :=\nu \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \\
a_{2}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}) & :=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2} u_{j} \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} w_{i} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \\
a_{3}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}) & :=\int_{\Gamma_{o}} \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n})^{-} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} \mathrm{d} S \\
b(\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{v}) & :=-\int_{\Gamma_{o}} \boldsymbol{h} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{~d} S
\end{array}
$$

The definition of the weak solution is formally identical with Definition 1, we only use form $a$ in the form given on the preceding page.

Definition 2. Let $\boldsymbol{g} \in H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)^{2}$ (for some $s \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ ) satisfy the condition $\boldsymbol{g}\left(A_{1}\right)=\boldsymbol{g}\left(A_{0}\right)$. Let $\boldsymbol{f} \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{h} \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{o}\right)^{2}$. The weak solution of the problem (14), (15), (3)-(7), (16) is a vector function $\boldsymbol{u} \in H^{1}(\Omega)^{2}$ which satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})=(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{v})_{0}+b(\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{v}) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all test functions $\boldsymbol{v} \in V$, the equation of continuity (15) a.e. in $\Omega$ and the boundary conditions (3)-(7) (on $\Gamma_{i}, \Gamma_{w}, \Gamma_{-}$and $\Gamma_{+}$) in the sense of traces.

Theorem (on the existence of a weak solution). There exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that if $\|\boldsymbol{g}\|_{s ; \Gamma_{i}}<\varepsilon$ then there exists a solution $\boldsymbol{u}$ of the problem defined in Definition 2.

Theorem (on the uniqueness of a weak solution). There exists $R>0$ such that if $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{2}$ are two solutions of the problem from Definition 2 such that $\left\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{4}} \leq R$ and $\left\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{4}} \leq R$ then $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}=\boldsymbol{u}_{2}$.

Principle of the proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}\right)-a\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \boldsymbol{v}\right)=0 . \\
& a_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right)=\nu\left|\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2} \mid\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left|a_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right)-a_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right)\right| \leq c_{7} R\| \| \boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2} \|^{2} \\
& \left|a_{3}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right)-a_{3}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right)\right| \leq c_{8} R\| \| \boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2} \|^{2} \\
& \quad \nu\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right\|\left\|^{2} \leq c_{7}+c_{8} R\right\| \boldsymbol{u}_{1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2} \|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The derivation of the estimate for $a_{3}$ is technically more complicated.

