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#### Abstract

The box method is one of mathematical methods used to numerical solutions of thermal conduction. This article focuses its attention on a use of the box method for solution of certain type of partial differential equation. We consider heat conduction problem described by elliptic partial differential equation of second order with the Newton boundary conditions on rectangular domain. The article contains description of numerical solution procedure of heat problem and estimation of box method error. The solution of practical problem is presented as well.


## 1. Introduction

This paper deals with heat stationary conduction problem. Our objective is to solve classical formulation of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left(a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right)+c u=f \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a rectangular domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ with Newton's boundary condition
(2) $\alpha u+\frac{\partial}{\partial n_{A}} u=g$.

Derivative with respect conormal in (2) is defined by relation
(3) $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n_{A}}=a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} n_{1}+a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} n_{2}$
and $n=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ denotes unit outward normal to $\partial \Omega$. Unknown function $u$ denotes warming of material and we suppose $u \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$, functions $a_{11}, a_{22}, c, f \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\alpha, g \in C(\partial \Omega), \alpha(s) \geq 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. The coefficients $a_{11}$ and $a_{22}$ describe the heat conduction character of the physical medium.
We will describe numerical solution procedure of heat conduction problem model by box method and error estimation of this method in following paragraphs.

## 2. Use of box method

We construct triangulation $\tau$ on closure of rectangular $\Omega \quad\left(X_{1} \leq x_{1} \leq X_{2}, Y_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq Y_{2}\right)$ by similar way as we use finite element method. We construct regular rectangular mesh with increments $h_{1}=\frac{X_{2}-X_{1}}{p}$ and $h_{2}=\frac{Y_{2}-Y_{1}}{q} \quad$ in the $x_{1}$-axis and $x_{2}$-axis direction, respectively, where $p$ and $q$ denotes the number of segments, to which the region is divided
in the $x_{1}$-axis and $x_{2}$-axis direction, respectively. The general node point has coordinates $V_{r s}=\left[X_{1}+r h_{1}, Y_{1}+s h_{2}\right]$, where $r \in\{0,1, \ldots, p\}, \quad s \in\{0,1, \ldots, q\}$. The rectangles with vertexes defined in points of mesh created elements of triangulation $\tau$. We construct special case of dual mesh to triangulation $\tau$ published in [4], p. 215. Points $T_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, are midpoints of abscissas defined by mesh point $V_{r s}$ and adjacent mesh points. Then points $S_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, are intersection points of mentioned abscissas. The rectangle belongs to node $V_{r s}$ and given by vertexes $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ created element $b_{r s}$ of dual mesh to $\tau$ (see Figure 1).


Figure 1 - Element $b_{r s}$ of dual mesh belongs to node $V_{r s}$

If the node $V_{r s}$ lies on the boundary of $\Omega$, the element $b_{r s}$ is by corresponding way modified (see Figure 2 and Figure 3).


Figure 2 - Element $b_{r q}$ of dual mesh belongs to boundary node $V_{r q}$


Figure 3 - Element $b_{p q}$ of dual mesh belongs to "corner" boundary node $V_{p q}$
The elements $b_{r s}$ are characterized by two aspects: $\bar{\Omega}=\underset{0 \leq r \leq p, 0 \leq s \leq q}{ } b_{r s}$ and int $b_{r s} \cap \operatorname{int} b_{k l}=\emptyset$ for $V_{r s} \neq V_{k l}$.

We can transfer member $c u$ to the right hand side in equation (1) and integrate left and right hand side over element $b_{r s}$. We then get
(4) $\int_{b_{r s}}\left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left(a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right)\right] d x=\int_{b_{r s}}(f-c u) d x$.

Using now Green's formula on left hand of relation (4), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{b_{r s}}\left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left(a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right)\right] d x=-\int_{b_{r s}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left(a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right) d x-\int_{b_{r s}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right) d x= \\
& =-\int_{\partial b_{r s}} a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} n_{1} d s-\int_{\partial b_{r s}} a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} n_{2} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then relation (4) can be modified in the form of
(5) $-\int_{\partial b_{r s}} a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} n_{1} d s-\int_{\partial b_{r s}} a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} n_{2} d s=\int_{b_{r s}}(f-c u) d x$.

The left hand side of equations (6) describes the quantity of heat supplied from or delivered to boundary of element $b_{r s}$, the right hand side express waste heat arising in the element $b_{r s}$. Because element $b_{r s}$ is rectangle, in case of internal element we can make an approximation
(6) $a_{11}\left(T_{1}\right) \frac{\partial u\left(T_{1}\right)}{\partial x_{1}} n_{1} \approx a_{11}\left(T_{1}\right) \frac{u\left(V_{r+1 s}\right)-u\left(V_{r s}\right)}{h_{1}}$.

With respect to supposed smooth of function $u$, we make the $O\left(h_{1}^{2}\right)$-order error mistake in approximation (6). Similar approximations are possible to carry out for points $T_{2}, T_{3}$ and $T_{4}$.

We focus now on boundary element, for example element $b_{r q}$ in Figure 2. Using relation (2) and (3) we obtain
(7) $\quad a_{22}\left(V_{r q}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\left(V_{r q}\right) n_{2}=g\left(V_{r q}\right)-\alpha\left(V_{r q}\right) u\left(V_{r q}\right)$.

In case of boundary "corner" element (see Figure 3), we can make an approximation of the value $u\left(P_{3}\right)$ from values $u\left(V_{p-1 q}\right)$ and $u\left(V_{p q}\right)$ with using Lagrange's interpolation polynomial of first degree. Because we suppose $u \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$, error order of approximation is $O\left(h_{1}^{2}\right)$ (see [3], p.64) and the value $a_{22}\left(P_{3}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\left(P_{3}\right) \eta_{2}$ is possible to approximate through the use of relation (2) .

Now we target the approximation of integrals in relation (5). However, first we introduce auxiliary thesis.

## Lemma.

If function $v \in C_{<a, b>}^{1}$, then $\left|\int_{a}^{b} v(x) d x-v\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \cdot(b-a)\right| \leq M(b-a)^{2}$, where $M \in \mathbf{R}$.

## Proof.

Let us consider Lagrange's interpolation polynomial of degree equal to 0 with interpolate point $\frac{a+b}{2}$. Using now Lagrange's theorem about error of Lagrange's interpolation polynomial (see [3], p. 63), for arbitrary $x$ exists value $\xi \in<\min \left(x, \frac{a+b}{2}\right), \max \left(x, \frac{a+b}{2}\right)>$, that is true

$$
v(x)=P_{0}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+v^{\prime}(\xi)\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) .
$$

Then $\int_{a}^{b} v(x) d x=\int_{a}^{b} v\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d x+\int_{a}^{b} v^{\prime}(\xi)\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d x \quad$ and we can use first mean value theorem for integration

$$
\int_{a}^{b} v(x) d x-\int_{a}^{b} v\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d x=\int_{a}^{b} v^{\prime}(\xi)\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d x=\int_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}} v^{\prime}(\xi)\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d x+
$$

$+\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{b} v^{\prime}(\xi)\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d x=v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \int_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}}\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d x+v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{b}\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d x=$
$=v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right]_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}}+v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right]_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{b}=v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \frac{1}{2}\left(-\left(a-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right)+$
$+v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \frac{1}{2}\left(b-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}=v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{(a-b)^{2}}{4}\right)+v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \frac{1}{2} \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}=-v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \frac{1}{8}(b-a)^{2}+$
$+v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \frac{1}{8}(b-a)^{2}=\left(v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right)-v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right) \frac{1}{8}(b-a)^{2}$,
where $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} \in<a, b>$ and generally $\eta_{1} \neq \eta_{2}$.
Hence we obtain
$\left|\int_{a}^{b} v(x) d x-\int_{a}^{b} v\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d x\right|=\left|\left(v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right)-v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right) \frac{1}{8}(b-a)^{2}\right|=\left|v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right)-v^{\prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right| \frac{1}{8}(b-a)^{2} \leq$
$\leq M(b-a)^{2}$, kde $M \in \mathbf{R}$ a $M \geq 0$, nebot' $v \in C_{<a, b\rangle}^{1}$.

Let us set $h=\max \left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$. From lemma implied, error of the midpoint rule applications to approximation of integrals in equation (5) is $O\left(h^{2}\right)$ order for every internal element. In boundary elements, we use midpoint rule and rectangle rule too. Analogously it is possible to prove that error of the rectangle rule is in this case $O\left(h^{2}\right)$ (see [1], p.178).
By application of the above mentioned approximations for every element $b_{r s}$ we obtain system of linear algebraic equations. Approximation error is order $O\left(h^{2}\right)$.
The general questions of box method estimation error are solved in [2].

## 3. Practical numerical example

We will solve now a real-live technical problem finding the warming in aluminium oil transformer screening by using above mentioned box method. Transformer screening is considered in the form of a thin-walled cylinder and the temperature field is supposed to be rotationally symmetric. Hence the warming problem can be solved in screening cross section on two dimensional closed rectangular domain $\Omega$. Then the problem is defined by equation
(7) $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left(\lambda_{1} x_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right)+x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(\lambda_{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right)=-x_{1} \delta^{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \rho\left(1+\alpha_{T} u\right)$
with the Newton boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} \eta_{1}+\lambda_{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} \eta_{2}+\alpha u=\alpha k\left(x_{2}-Y_{1}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

on rectangular $\Omega$. Here solution $u$ denotes screening warming, real values $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ stand for heat conductivities of the material in the $x_{1}$-axis and $x_{2}$-axis directions, respectively; $\delta\left(x_{2}\right)$ denotes the density of eddy currents, $\rho$ is the specific resistance of the material, and $\alpha_{T}$ is the factor for the dependence of a specific resistance on temperature. In boundary condition (8) the constant $\alpha$ means heat transfer coefficient on the boundary of domain, real constant $k$ allows to express the variable temperature of oil in the vicinity of screening in the $x_{2}$-axis direction. The problem has the following parameters:
$X_{1}=0.86 \mathrm{~m}, \quad X_{2}=0.868 \mathrm{~m}, \quad Y_{1}=0.8864 \mathrm{~m}, \quad Y_{2}=2.51 \mathrm{~m}, \quad \lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=220 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{mK}$, $\rho=0.3 \times 10^{-7} \Omega \mathrm{~m}, \quad \alpha_{T}=0.00409 \mathrm{~K}^{-1}, \quad \alpha=50 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}, \quad k=10 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{m}, \quad$ the current density $\delta\left(x_{2}\right)$ is given by means of 19 values between $0.2498 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{Am}^{-2}$ and $0.3508 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{Am}^{-2}$, the current density at the other node points is computed by means of linear interpolation. Table 1 lists approximate values of warming in chosen nodes computed using the box method.

| $x_{2}[\mathrm{~m}]$ | $X_{1}=0,860[\mathrm{~m}]$ | $x_{1}=0,864[\mathrm{~m}]$ | $X_{2}=0,868[\mathrm{~m}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y_{2}=2,51$ | 29,444 | 29,446 | 29,444 |
| 2,30705 | 24,423 | 24,423 | 24,423 |
| 2,10410 | 22,133 | 22,133 | 22,133 |
| 1,90115 | 20,682 | 20,682 | 20,682 |
| 1,69820 | 19,466 | 19,467 | 19,466 |
| 1,49525 | 18,569 | 18,571 | 18,569 |
| 1,29230 | 17,567 | 17,569 | 17,567 |
| 1,08935 | 15,535 | 15,537 | 15,535 |
| $Y_{1}=0,8864$ | 12,809 | 12,811 | 12,809 |

Table 1: The values of screening warming in K for selected nodes at $h_{1}=0.004 \mathrm{~m}$ and $h_{2}=0.025369 \mathrm{~m}$.

## References

[1] Antia, H., M.: Numerical Methods for Scientists and Engineers. Birkhauser Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
[2] Bank, R., E., Rose, D., J.: Some error estimates for the box method. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 24 (1987), 777-787.
[3] Ralston, A.: Základy numerické matematiky. Academia, Praha, 1987.
[4] Varga, R., S.: Matrix Iterative Analysis. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

